

Appl. No. 10/810,296  
Amdt. Dated Aug. 31, 2007

Reply to Office action of Aug. 22, 2007

REMARKS

The substance of telephone interview dated August 15, 2007 including the discussion of amendments of the improper multiple dependent claims using the following formal: making claim 11 depend from claim 2, claim 12 depend from 11, etc. and each of all dependent claims depend only from one claim after amendments to the claims.

Using this formal, in the present claim, claim 11 depend from claim 2, claims 12, 13 and 16 depend from claim 11, claims 14 and 15 depend from claim 2, and claim 17-18 depend from claim 1.

The previously presented claim 17-18 are not changed based on the Office Action Summary of August 22, 2007. The claim 3-10 can not be depended from each other because the nine atherosclerotic parameters are independent from each other. All text of claim 3-10 are integrated into the amended claim 2 because these claims reference only from the step of determining the atherosclerotic parameters-caused disease risks in claim 1, and claim 3-10 are then canceled. The amended text of currently presented claim 2 is the same as all text of claim 2-10.

The examiner has acknowledged that this

application is in condition for allowance except for the formal matters: claim 11-18 in improper multiple dependency form.


Each of all dependent claims 2 and 11-18 in the present claim depend only from one claim.

I state that the material being inserted is the material previously incorporated by reference and that the amendments contain no new matter.

Thank you for your consideration.

Applicant respectfully request that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case.

Respectfully submitted,



Xing F. Wang, Ph.D.  
Applicant  
TEL: (774) 239-3884  
Fax: (508) 831-0592